

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3
4 Kareen Anderson,

5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 United States of America,

8 Defendant
9

Case No. 2:18-cv-02173-JAD-GWF

Order

[ECF No. 11]

10 Pro se federal prisoner Kareen Anderson brings this *Bivens* action to challenge his arrest,
11 prosecution, and pretrial-detention conditions.¹ Since his original application to proceed *in*
12 *forma pauperis* was denied as incomplete,² he has filed a number of additional motions. His
13 most recent motion is entitled “Motion Styled Letter Requesting Correspondence by this Court
14 pursuant to LR IA 7-1(a) for the Case Related Matters Presented.”³ He notes that his case has
15 been pending for more than 90 days and insists that it is ripe for ruling.

16 The court notes the receipt of Mr. Anderson’s LR IA 7-1(a) correspondence [ECF No.
17 11]. It assures Mr. Anderson that the court is well aware of his case and his pending motions.
18 Due to this court’s large case load, however, it takes more than 90 days for cases and most
19 motions to be resolved. Mr. Anderson’s case and pending motions will be resolved in due course
20 and as quickly as possible. However, much remains to be done before—as Mr. Anderson

21
22 _____
¹ ECF No. 1-1.

23 ² ECF No. 4.

³ ECF No. 11.

1 suggests—his case is “ripe for the rule.” The court still must screen his complaint as required by
2 28 U.S.C. § 1915, and only if it survives screening will it be directed to be served. Because of
3 the high number of prisoner cases in this district, screening takes many months.

4 Dated: May 17, 2019

5 _____
6 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23